Effects of bleaching stress on wound repair in Montastrea faveolata



INTRODUCTION • Coral bleaching events affect thousands of kilometers of reefs that may lead to coral mortality and/or increased susceptibility to stressors. 24° C • There is limited information on how bleaching affects tissue growth during medium wound repair. liaht • Objective: We investigated wound repair responses in M. faveolata specimens to temperature and solar radiation stress. 28° C high light **METHODS** • *M. faveolata* colonies obtained from Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary (FLNMS) and maintained at U.S. EPA Coral Research Laboratory (Gulf Breeze, FL). 150 • *M. faveolata* cut into three sided specimens (Figure 1). 100 • Two (temperature) x three (light) factorial design (Table 1) 0 · -50 Table 1. Description of experiment. -100 **Recovery Period** Daily Solar Radiation (W-h/m²) Light Exposure Culture Temperature -150 temp (°C) Stress (°C) Treatment^a UVB UVA Visible -25 Period (days) (weeks) 5.57 171 773 High 69.4 384 2.10 15 24 24-28 Medium 23.1 Low^b 0.69 140 a. Simulates daily solar radiation exposure to coral in Florida Keys at depth 5-10 m (high), 10-20 m (medium), and 20-25 m (low)[1] Low treatment approximates culture and recovery solar radiation levels. Period Bleaching endpoints measured before and after exposure: •Photosystem II efficiency $(\Delta F_v/F_m)$ Exposure Zooxanthellae density Recover •Pigment/polyp (chl a, chl c2, peridinin, xanthins) [2] Protein content Camera jig (Figure 2) used to help estimate changes in live surface area by 2D digital masks before and after exposure and throughout recovery (Figure 3). at the end of recovery. Figure 1. M. faveolata Figure 2. Camera jig specimer

C. McGill, S.H. Yee, L.A. Courtney, D. Marcovich, S. Kell, M.G. Barron U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Gulf Ecology Division, Gulf Breeze, FL 32561



Figure 4. Change in live surface area in *M. faveolata* after exposure (day 0) and during recovery. Letters indicate significant contrasts at the end of exposure (grey box) or at the end of recovery by Tukey's HSD test.



Table 2. Pearson correlation coeffections (r) between bleaching endpoints and rate of tissue change during the exposure period

 and during recovery. P-values are indicated as **, 0.001<p<0.01; *0.01<p<0.05.

	Fv/Fm	Zooxs/polyp	C2/polyp	ChIA/polyp	Perdinin/polyp	Xanthin/polyp
e	0.51*	0.50*	0.57*	0.65**	0.61**	0.51*
y	0.04	0.29	0.24	0.32	0.27	0.34

• Bleaching endpoints were significantly correlated with wound repair at the end of exposure but not

• Exposure to high solar radiation at both 24° and 28°C caused tissue loss at the end of exposure.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: Special thanks to Lauri MacLaughlin and FKNMS for providing corals, Deb Vivian and Justina Dacey for laboratory assistance.





CONCLUSIONS

• M. faveolata did not bleach in the absence of elevated solar radiation. Modeling studies have predicted that *M. faveolata* are moderately sensitive to temperature compared to other Caribbean species of scleractinian corals and that solar radiation significantly increased the probability of bleaching [3].

•Effects of high solar radiation on tissue growth were only significantly different at the end of exposure. Corals under high solar radiation exposure at 24°C never fully recovered from bleaching.

• These results indicate that bleaching events cause transient reductions in tissue growth rates under stress but can have variable impacts on longer term growth of scleractinian corals.

REFERENCES

[1] Barron et al. 2009. Methods to estimate solar radiation dosimetry in coral reefs using remote sensed, modeled, and in situ data. Environ. Monito. Assess. 151:445-455.

[2] Rogers, J.E. and D. Marcovich. 2007. A simple method for the extraction and quantification of photopigments from Symbiodinium spp. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 353(2):191-197.

[3] Yee et al. 2008. Comparing environmental influences on coral bleaching across and within species using clustered binomial regression. Ecol. Model 218:162-174.